

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/039,927	03/16/1998	HENRY A. LESTER	A-63098-1/RF	1215
7590 07/12/2005 FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 3400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			EXAMINER	
			PAK, MICHAEL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	N					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/039,927	LESTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Pak	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 April 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 18,20,21,23 and 25-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 18, 20, 21, 23, 25-30 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The path of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form F10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		··· .				
application from the International Bur	•	G				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/039,927

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendments filed 29 April 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-17, 19, 20, 22 and 24 has been cancelled. Claims 18, 20, 21, 23 and 25-30 are pending.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 29 April 2005 have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 112

4. Claims 18, 20, 21, 23 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 25, 26 and 27 recite the term "hybridize under low stringency conditions" which is a relative term whose metes and bounds are not clear. Hybridization conditions require specific salt and temperature as well as specific wash conditions without which the metes and bounds of the structure of the nucleic acid which results in the low stringency hybridization conditions are not clear.

Claims 18, 20, 21, 23 and 27-30 recite the term "at least about 50%" or at least about 75%" whose metes and bounds are not clear because it is not clear where is the

Application/Control Number: 09/039,927

Art Unit: 1646

lower boundary of at least about a specific percentage.

5. Claims 25, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office action.

Claims encompass nucleic acid which "hybridize under low stringency conditions" nucleic acid encoding Kir 3.0 channels used in the method assay. However, one skilled in the art cannot envision all the various species of Kir 3.0 channel subunits because under low stringency conditions the nucleic acids which would hybridize is an enormous number and variety. *University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. (CAFC) 43 USPQ2d 1398* held that a generic claim to human or mammalian when only the rat protein sequence was disclosed did not have written description in the specification. Thus, the genus of Kir3.0 channels structure cannot be envisioned.

Priority

6. Claims 25 is non-obviously broader than claims in the parent application 08/066,371 and thus are not entitled to benefit of the earlier filing date.

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office actions.

Application/Control Number: 09/039,927

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

7. Claim 25 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yatani et al.((12); Science, 1987) with evidence by Krapivinsky et al.(Nature, 1995).

The reason for the rejection has been set forth previously.

Applicants argue that Yatani does not teach cell claimed because it is a patch clamp. However, the isolated cells of Yatani are provided with heteromeric subunits to form the inward rectifier channels prior to the patch clamp thus meeting the amended claim limitation. Furthermore, the nucleic acid which would encode the subunits would inherently hybridize under low stringency conditions because the all nucleic acids which have regions of homology would hybridize under low stringency conditions.

8. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karschin et al.((8); PNAS, 1991) with evidence by Krapivinsky et al.(Nature, 1995).

The reason for the rejection has been set forth previously.

Applicants argue that the potassium channel of Karchin is not conclusively a Kir channel. However, Karchin cardiac myocytes inherently comprise the inward rectifier and encompasses the term Kir potassium channel. Furthermore, the claim limitation only requires the function of an inward K+ current.

9. No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/039,927 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0879.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Hicharl D. PAK Michael Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

07 July 2005